What does a comparative study of *The Jew of Malta* and *Volpone* suggest about the contrasted characteristics of Marlowe and Jonson as dramatists?

Formatted: Font: Italic

Formatted: Font: Italic

Formatted: Centred, Line spacing: Double

Formatted: Line spacing: Double

A Comparative Study of Marlowe and Jonson: The Jew of Malta and Volpone

Christopher Marlowe's *The Jew of Malta* (c. 1590) and Ben Jonson's *Volpone* (1606) offer a striking contrast in the dramatic temperaments of two of the most influential playwrights of the English Renaissance.

While both Christopher Marlowe's *The Jew of Malta* (c. 1590) and Ben Jonson's *Volpone* (1606)plays centercentre on cunning, morally ambiguous and manipulative protagonists, a comparative analysis reveals significant differences in their dramatic approaches of these two Renaissance playwrights. It is these These differences are particularly evident in each playwright's character development, that eachwhich

playthey also reveal thes differences in character development, presentation of morality and their stylistic techniques. and a comparative study who manipulate those around them,

Formatted: Font: Bold

Character Development

Formatted: Font: Bold

Formatted: Line spacing: Double

It is the lust and greed of and a comparative study reveals fundamental differences in character conception, moral vision, dramatic method, and overall artistic temperament.

Character Conception: The Overreacher vs. The Comic Type

Marlowe's protagonist Barabas, and his embodiment of both desire and cruelty, that is the focus at the centre of the The Jew of Malta. For example, play, hethis is evident in his

is a figure of extraordinary ambition and audacity, whose greed and lust for revenge propel the narrative. He is conceived on a grand, almost mythic scale, embodying the extremes of human desire and cruelty. As Barabas declarationes, *[a]And, if you like them, drink your fill and die; / For, so I live, perish may all the world!' (Marlowe 5:2), which he directsed at the carpenters who are celebrate ing-his wealth, revealing that he is willing to sacrifice anyone for his own success.

Through his character, and, especially through his flaws, Marlowe explores the nature of -an individual's free will.

<u>""I count religion but a childish toy, / And hold there is no sin but ignorance</u>" (Marlowe 1.1).

Barabas dominates the stage, his energy and audacity creating a sense of awe and terror. In contrast, Jonson's <u>protagonist Volpone is</u>, though equally clever and deceitful, although also comic, and his scheming operates within the play's moral framework. In this attempt to explore

Formatted: Font: Italic

human folly and vice, particularly through his protagonist, Jonson makes use of stereoeharacter types, albeit to comic effect. For example, -characters, such as the legacy-hunters, s, function as social mirrors, exaggerate aspects ofting human vices for didactic and comic purposess rather than present accurate character portraits. As Volpone observes, '[w]What a rare punishment is avarice to itself!' (Jonson 1.4), which encapsulates Jonson's concern with the self-inflicted moral consequences of a character's actionsthrough vice. A significant connection exists between the two plays.

, rather than presenting complex psychological portraits.

whereas Marlowe revels in the dramatic energy of an individual will, Jonson's focus is on exposing human folly and vice through carefully constructed types. Volpone exclaims observes, "What a rare punishment is avarice to itself!" (Jonson 1.4). Jonson's characters, such as the legacy hunters, function as social mirrors, exaggerating human vices for didactic and comic purposes, rather than presenting complex psychological portraits. aAs Tulip (1992) recognises notes, "Volpone owes its origin and deep structure to Marlowe's The Jew of Malta, and its more complex social satire reflects Jonson's engagement with contemporary Venetian society." (Tulip 231). This highlights both Jonson's debt to Marlowe and his distinctive approach to social satire.

Morality

Formatted: Font: Bold

Formatted: Tab stops: 13 cm, Left

A significant connection exists between the two plays, as Tulip (1992) recognises, 'Volpone owes its origin and deep structure to Marlowe's The Jew of Malta, and its more complex social satire reflects Jonson's engagement with contemporary Venetian society' (231). The moral framework of each play reveals thisa key contrast between the two playwrights. The Jew of Malta presents a vision of an amoral world where its protagonist, Barabas, '-captivates the supposedly virtuous Christian characters in the play, illustrating that they, too, are flawed. He claims,

Barabas-'I count religion but a childish toy, / And hold there is no sin but ignorance' (Marlowe 1.1), emphasising his own moral code. -

Moral Vision: Amoral Spectacle vs. Moral Satire

The moral vision of each playwright further underscores their differences. The Jew of Malta presents an amoral universe where: Barabas's villainy captivates and the supposedly virtuous characters—the Christian characters — are hypocritical and flawed.—Marlowe appears less interesteding in presenting a undiversuniversal moral code and more interested in exploring aspects of power, deception and revenge. the 's

When

interest lies in the spectacle of power, deception, and revenge rather than in moral instruction.

Barabas eynically declares, "Thus every villain ambles after wealth, / Although he ne'er be

Formatted: Line spacing: Double

richer than in hope' e" (Marlowe 3.4), -he shows a view of avarice evident across social groups.

The play has no moral centre and no position of virtue from which it judges, which creates an unsettling theatrical experience for its audience.

However, Jonson, however, constructs *Volpone* as a deliberate satire with a clear set of moral boundaries. For example, power is systematically ridiculed and corruption is exposed. In contrast to Jonson's approach to using of using comedy to reinforce an ideal ethical framework, Marlowe makes use of dramatic excess. This is illustrated by and

). Modern scholarship emphasizes this distinction: Donaldson (1997) argues, notes that observes, '"[i] In Jonson's universe, civic misconduct is the ultimate offence, a form of 'blasphemy' against the polis' (15); a viewpoint that contrasts Marlowe's more individualistic +portrayal of morality.

27

Religion

Formatted: Font: Bold

The two playwrights differ in their treatment of religion. within each play.

contrasting with Marlowe's more individualistic portrayal of immorality, (Donaldson 15).

Formatted: Line spacing: Double

Themes and Treatment of Religion

Another key difference lies in the playwrights' treatment of religion. In *The Jew of Malta* religion operates functions as a tool of hypocrisy and manipulation, and Marlowe presents a world where both Christians and Turks alike exploit their faith for personal benefitgain, suggesting that moral corruption is universal (Bevington, 2022; 212), which appears to This treatment of religion-reflects his skepticismscepticism towards social hierarchies.

In By contrast, Jonson's approach differs significally significantly as while Volpone play does not centercentre on critiquing religion, it addresses issues of morality and ethics. The schemes of Volpone are condemned for ethical reasons rather than for religious ones, which reflects

Jonson's wider procupation preoccupation with social order and virtue

religious critique; the focus is on social morality and ethical conduct. Volpone's schemes are not condemned on religious grounds but on ethical ones, reflecting Jonson's concern with civic virtue and societal order (Orgel, 1996-47). This distinction suggests that while Marlowe raises

Formatted: Font: Italic

questions about moral authority, Jonson accepts it as a foundation of society but eritques critiques those who go against itthem.

Formatted: Line spacing: Double

Dramatic Method and Style: Rhetorical Flourish vs. Structured Satire

Style

Formatted: Font: Bold

The formal characteristics of each play reflect key differences in stylistic approach. For example, Marlowe's use of verse demonstrates blank verse, favours the dramatic spectacle and how episodic plotting and theatricality theatricality illustrate his character's ambitions. Barabas's claim that, '

Formatted: Font: Not Bold

Formatted: Font: Not Bold

Dramatic technique and style further distinguish the two authors. Marlowe's verse is characterized by soaring blank verse and rhetorical brilliance, often favoring dramatic spectacle over structural cohesion. His episodic plot and heightened theatricality amplify the grandeur of his characters' ambitions. For instance, Barabas declares, "[a]As for myself, I walk abroad anights, / And kill sick people groaning under walls" (Marlowe 1.1) illusteatesillustrates

Marlowe's stylistic technique of combining a negative horrible image with poetic rhythm.

In contrast,

-Jonson's eraftsmanship, by contrast, approaching involves tight emphasizes meticulous plotting, precise language, and aspects of social realism. Volpone begins with the invocation opens with a declaration of self-importance and wit; "[h]Hail to the world's soul, and mine. / More glad than is / The teeming earth to see the longed-for sun / Peep through the horns of the celestial ram;" (Jonson 1.1). This. This ornate rhetoric has a satirical function, which reveals both Volpone's self-delusion and grandiosity. His structured dialogue also reflects his approach to order in theatre, which contrasts Marlowe's experimental approach.

Conclusion

Formatted: Font: Bold

Jonson's use of classical unities and structured dialogue reflects his commitment to order and decorum in drama.

This comparative study of Artistic Temperament and Audience Reception

UltimatelIn conclusiony, a comparative reading comparison of The Jew of Malta and Volpone reveals illuminates the contrasting artistic temperaments of Marlowe and Jonson's contrasting approaches and their disetinet distinct contributions to Renaissance theatre. Marlow presents his fascination with the extremes of individual individual will and his skeptisms cepticism of social hierarchies, and Jonson shares his commitment to social eritue critique and moral instruction, but through comedy. As Kermode (2000) notes, 'Marlowe enthralls through character as a force of nature; Jonson instructs through comedy as a reflection of society' (88).

Formatted: Line spacing: Double

These differences extend beyond individual approach to represent two different approaches to the function of drama. For example, -ictire -

Marlowe emerges as the dramatist of romantic excess, fascinated by the extremes of individual will and ambition. Jonson exemplifies the classical satirist, committed to social critique, moral instruction, and orderly comedy. Marlowe's audience is drawn into the thrilling-spectacle of Barabas's intellect-and audacity, while Jonson's spectators is are guided to laugh at reognisable folly and reflect on their ethical choices with the promise that such reflection can lead to improvements in society. In their distinct approaches, both plays contribute to the evolution of character representation representation and stiresatire on stage. Both plays. As Kermode (2000) notes, "Marlowe enthralls through character as a force of nature; Jonson instructs through comedy as a reflection of society" (Kermode 88).

<u>While</u> In essence, Marlowe's <u>The Jew of Malta</u> theatre exhilarates through the force of examines the complexities of human nature, Jonson's <u>Volpone</u> focuses on vice and folly.

human desire and audacity, whereas Jonson's theatre instructs through measured exposure of folly and vice. The addition of modern critical perspectives underscores how both dramatists, while differing in style and moral vision, contributed fundamentally to Renaissance drama and the evolution of character and satire on the English stage.

Formatted: Line spacing: Double

Formatted: Line spacing: Double

Works Cited References

Bevington, David. <u>(2002).</u> English Renaissance Drama: A Norton Anthology. 2nd ed., W.W. Norton & Company, 2002.

Donaldson, Ian. "Marlowe, Jonson, and the Origins of Evil." *Shakespeare Studies*, vol. 25, 1997, pp. 13–24.

Jonson, Ben. Volpone, or The Fox. 1606. Edited by G.A. Wilkes, Methuen, 1913.

Kermode, Frank. Shakespeare, Marlowe, Jonson: The Poems and Plays of the Elizabethan Stage. Oxford University Press, 2000.

Marlowe, Christopher. *The Jew of Malta*. c.1590. Edited by Frank W. Chandler, Houghton Mifflin, 1900.

Orgel, Stephen. Ben Jonson: The Critical Heritage. Routledge, 1996.

Tulip, J. "The Intertextualities of Ben Jonson's Volpone." *Journal of Renaissance Studies*, vol. 12(2), no. 2, 1992, pp. 229–245.

SparkNotes Editors. "The Jew of Malta Quotes." SparkNotes

https://www.sparknotes.com/drama/jewofmalta/quotes/

SparkNotes Editors. "Volpone Quotes." SparkNotes,

https://www.sparknotes.com/drama/volpone/quotes/

Formatted: Font: 12 pt

Formatted: Font: 12 pt

https://www.gradesaver.com/the-jew-of-malta/study-guide/quotes Field Code Changed